

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/710,346	07/02/2004	Hon-Yuan Leo	12851-US-PA	4345	
31561 IIANO CHYLI	7590 07/13/2007 N INTELLECTUAL PRO	PERTY OFFICE	EXAM	MINER	
7 FLOOR-1, N		, DICT TOTALOG	12851-US-PA 4345  EXAMINER  XIAO, KE  ART UNIT PAPER NUI  2629  NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVERY	), KE	
ROOSEVELT	ROAD, SECTION 2			DADED MIMDED	
TAIPEI, 100				PAPER NUMBER	
TAIWAN			2629		
	-		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		·	07/13/2007	ELECTRONIC.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USA@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	10/710,346	LEO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ke Xiao	2629	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a strict apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this commus BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			•
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ag</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) ☒ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal mat	·	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyation is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  I received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Application/Control Number: 10/710,346

Art Unit: 2629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yang (US 4,855,724).

Regarding independent **Claim 1**, Yang teaches a liquid crystal panel (Yang, Fig. 4), comprising:

a display area having MxN pixel for providing MxN resolution, each of the pixels including K sub-pixels (Yang, Fig. 4 a 4x1 outlined configuration of pixels, each pixel inclining 3 sub pixels);

a row driver having IxN scan lines coupled to the display area (Yang, Fig. 4 two scan lines for the single row of pixels); and

a column driver having JxM data lines coupled to the display area for cooperating with the row drive to complete driving M pixels on a same row in the display area after the row driver scans I times (Yang, Fig. 4 for every 2 rows all M pixels are scanned), wherein IxJ = K, and 1 < I, J < K (Yang, Fig. 4, I = 2, J = 1.5, K = 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/710,346

Art Unit: 2629

3

Regarding independent **Claim 7**, Yang teaches a method for driving a liquid crystal panel (Yang, Fig. 4) having a display area having MxN pixels providing MxN resolution, each of the pixels including K sub-pixels (Yang, Fig. 4 a 4x1 outlined configuration of pixels, each pixel inclining 3 sub pixels), the method comprising:

scanning IxN scan lines in the display area in sequence (Yang, Fig. 4 two scan lines for the single row of pixels);

and providing JxM sub-pixel data to JxM data lines in the display area after scanning each of he IxN scan lines to complete driving M pixels on a same row in the display area after scanning the scan lines for I times (Yang, Fig. 4, for every 2 rows all M pixels are scanned);

wherein IxJ = K, and 1 < I, J < K (Yang, Fig. 4, I = 2, J = 1.5, K = 3).

Regarding **Claims 2 and 8**, Yang further teaches that K is 3, I is 2 and J is 1.5 (Yang, Fig. 4, I = 2, J = 1.5, K = 3).

Regarding **Claim 5**, Yang further teaches that the MxN pixels are arranged in a delta manner (Yang, Fig. 4 element 22).

Regarding **Claim 13**, Yang further teaches a timing sequence driving method for a timing sequence control circuit, the timing sequence driving method at least comprising the method for driving the liquid crystal panel of Claim 7 (Yang, Fig. 4 element 12, 14, 20 and 140).

Application/Control Number: 10/710,346

Art Unit: 2629

. . .

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang (US 4,855,724) in view of Koyama (US 6,380,919).

Regarding **Claims 3 and 4**, Yang fails to teach an even column driver, an odd column driver, an even row driver, and an odd row driver as claimed. Koyama teaches an even column driver for driving an even portion of data lines of a display area, an odd column driver for driving an odd portions of data lines of a display area, an even row driver for driving an even portion of scan lines of a display area, and an odd row driver for driving an odd portion of scan lines of a display area (Koyama, Fig. 5A). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the even and odd column and row drivers as taught by Koyama in the system of Yang in order to increase density of the display elements.

**Claim 6** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang (US 4,855,724) in view of the applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA).

Regarding **Claim 6**, Yang fails to teach a liquid crystal display projector system comprising the liquid crystal panel of Claim 1. The AAPA teaches that it is well known in the art to use liquid crystal display systems in projection systems (AAPA, Pg. 1

Art Unit: 2629

. .

paragraph [0010]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the liquid crystal display panel of Yang in a projection system as taught by the AAPA in order to more easily realize a larger display.

Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang (US 4,855,724).

Regarding **Claims 9-12**, Yang fails to teach scanning the scan lines from top to bottom or bottom to top and providing the data from left to right or from right to left. Since the applicant has failed to disclose that the direction of scanning or providing data provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem, it is an obvious matter of design choice to have scanned and provided the data sequentially in any direction. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary art at the time of the invention to scan from top to bottom or bottom to top and to provide the data from left to right or right to left because it would have accomplished the purpose of displaying the image data equally as well.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

. . . . .

Page 6

### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ke Xiao whose telephone number is (571) 272-7776. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 27<sup>th</sup>, 2007 - kx -

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER